

Conflict Resolution Committee  
The Greens NSW

Cc: Damiya Hayden  
Convenor, The Greens NSW

By email only

Tuesday 26 April 2022

Dear CRC Members

**Response to complaint about my behaviour and  
complaint against those responsible for this abuse of process - Part 2**

On 17 February 2021 Sylvia Hale as convenor of the Greens NSW sent an email entitled "Grassroots democracy, consensus decisions, and fairness" which reminded members:

*Respectful tolerance of divergent views is central to consensus decision-making. The aim is for all opinions to be heard and, via considered discussion, to reach a position that's acceptable to all parties.*

Unfortunately, this approach is not one which has been adopted by the members responsible for bringing this complaint. These complainants have chosen instead to shame and castigate those who question Greens policy on gender identity and have used their power within the party to block those who dissent and are now using the complaints process as a further means of silencing them. I submit that this behaviour is prejudicial to the interests of the party and that the complaint against me is an abuse of process and constitutes a breach of the fundamental objective of grassroots democracy as set out in clause 1.2.3 of The NSW Greens Constitution.

Supported by fellow Greens member Dr Catherine Lynch, I ask that this complaint be accepted pursuant to 6.6.1 a) and b). We note that according to clause 6.6.2: *complaints against a member of a Member-Group should generally be determined by that Member-Group and will only be dealt with by The Greens NSW if the Convenor considers that it is appropriate in the particular circumstances of the case.* I submit that given that the complaint against me is already being dealt with by head office, this is a matter where it is also appropriate that these cross-complaints should also be dealt with together by Greens NSW rather than being referred to the various member groups.

Please find following details and examples of the constitutional breaches committed by each of the 11 complainants in turn. This is not a comprehensive list, given the time constraints. Further details can be provided if required, and if time is allowed.

1. Abigail Boyd, MLC

Abigail Boyd is a Greens member of the Legislative Council of the NSW Parliament. She holds Greens portfolios relating to domestic violence and women's equity and economic justice among others. Within Greens working groups Abigail has worked to have me blocked and silenced and has generally been dismissive of anyone else who raises concerns in relation to women's sex-based rights. Her conduct fails to comply with the Greens fundamental objectives of grassroots democracy and social justice and is therefore in breach of the Greens NSW Constitution and the Australian Greens Charter.

Date	Description	Concerns
19/11/18	Lengthy email from Abigail Boyd placing the Women's Working Group list into "emergency moderation" citing "safe meeting practice".	I am not sure on what authority Abigail was acting in doing this, but over the years she regularly blocks group communications when she sees fit.
14/6/19	<p>An email from Abigail Boyd where she is dismissive of the concerns expressed by various members of the Women's Working Group about sharing toilets with men stating:</p> <p><i>I must admit to struggling to understand concerns re women not wanting to share a toilet space with cis-men. Obviously, we are talking about cubicles here - a separate space per person with a lock on the door - and only a wash basin area being shared. That is a very common set-up these days in restaurants and other public places around the world. Is it possible to please explain the source of the discomfort some more, so that we can come up with a way of addressing it in the proposal?</i></p>	It is quite clear from the string of emails in relation to toilets that Abigail Boyd has no intention of taking seriously the concerns of women in relation to sharing toilets with males. Her concern is only for the rights of trans people and not for women and she does not attempt consensus decision making but instead belittles the concerns shared with her. Her approach is patronizing and undermines grassroots democracy by discouraging dissent.
11/12/19	<p>This was Abigail's response to my request that a workshop have speakers from both sides of the debate:</p> <p><i>Anna - policy changes can be suggested with the support of your local group. The Secretary considers workshop proposals submitted before the deadline for each SDC.</i></p> <p><i>In the same way that I wouldn't</i></p>	Abigail makes it clear that any balanced debate of the issues will not be tolerated within the working group. She also accuses me of causing harm, ensuring that no one else will risk speaking out. I am still unclear which other Greens policies are considered to be "core" and therefore not open to discussion.

	<p><i>expect to have to provide a 'counter' to a workshop on any of our other core policies, I will certainly not be doing so for this one.</i></p> <p><i>I refer you also to our terms of reference for this working group which are also in opposition to your views. I would request that rather than causing any further harm here, you refer all further comments directly to my office: Abigail.Boyd@parliament.nsw.gov.au.</i></p>	
24/4/22	<p><i>Hi all,</i></p> <p><i>I would encourage those who are worried about the so-called silencing of transphobic views to instead imagine that the comments were being made about people of a particular sexuality, religion or skin colour. There is absolutely no way we would as Greens accept the airing of such views as safe in our member spaces. Gender is no different.</i></p> <p><i>Trans people are subject to such discrimination and intolerance that rates of depression, anxiety and self-harm are multiples of the rest of the population. They are bullied at school, discriminated against in employment and denied healthcare. Why? Because a small minority of people are scared / intolerant of difference.</i></p> <p><i>We saw this kind of backlash against gay men in the 70s and 80s when more and more dared to be out and proud. A growing acceptance from broader society of being queer was met with a violent conservative backlash with the likes of Fred Nile leading the hate speech and gay men being bashed and murdered.</i></p> <p><i>This commentary about trans women isn't just talk. It has very real consequences. I remember very clearly and painfully the experience that the Women's Working Group went through with this sort of hate speech back in</i></p>	<p>This is Abigail's latest email before she forcibly removed me from the Women's Working Group. She draws misleading analogies with racism and homophobia and compares women who are concerned about sex-based rights with bigots and bullies and Fred Nile.</p> <p>Abigail adopts an authoritarian approach of threatening to censor or block anyone who dares to speak on the subject. I have yet to see any transphobic statements within the group and this email is clearly directed primarily at me and the few other brave women who spoke out in my support. This action demonstrates very clearly a refusal to comply with the Greens fundamental objective of grassroots democracy.</p>

	<p><i>2017 - members left and some suffered terribly with their mental health.</i></p> <p><i>I will not let that happen again, and I make no apologies for deleting and blocking transphobic content when I see it.</i></p> <p><i>This WWG list is a Greens space, and we are in no way obliged to allow it to be used as a platform for hate speech. Our Terms of Reference explicitly recognise the WWG as a space for all women, not only cis. I propose that this group now agree to remove from the elist any member who continues to use it to post transphobic views.</i></p>	
--	--	--

Aside from these actions, over the years I have written several times to Abigail in relation to domestic violence policy and other areas of concern to women (unrelated to issues of gender ideology), only to have my concerns dismissed out of hand or ignored altogether. Despite domestic violence being my area of expertise, Abigail has been unresponsive to concerns I have raised and indeed has actively taken steps to reduce my influence by having references to my organisation removed from parliamentary reports.


## 2. Jenny Leong MP

Jenny Leong is the Greens Member of Parliament for Newtown. She holds various portfolios including Sex, Sexuality and Gender Identity / LGBTIQ, but also for women's rights and human rights. Jenny is in the habit of telling women "*equality isn't a pie*" while overseeing the removal of their human rights in deference to the demands of the more aggressive trans lobby group. Jenny's repeated efforts to forcibly shut down debate on this complex topic and to deride member's legitimate concerns demonstrates a failure to comply with the fundamental objectives of grassroots democracy and social justice.

Date	Description	Concerns
6/10/19	<p><i>To those feeling disturbed by what they are reading being said on here, I am sorry.</i></p> <p><i>It seems no part of our society is free from toxic dominance of privilege.</i></p> <p><i>Words have a real impact on people and their ability to participate. Just because they are put in print and presented as rational/logical arguments doesn't</i></p>	<p>In this lengthy email Jenny Leong intervenes in a discussion about an article in the Greenmail 'Sex and Gender issue' to imply that members, such as myself, are acting with "privilege" and goes on to provide an insulting and false analogy with racism.</p> <p>She states she is "sickened" by what she describes as "exclusive views".</p>

	<p><i>make that any better or less hurtful.</i></p> <p><i>And if we are going to start bringing racism in to this - then I would suggest a more accurate comparison to the current situation would be this:</i></p> <p><i>A member writes an article in GreenMail that I should no longer be able to be a Greens MP unless I make public statements and disclose the full details of my family and personal associations because as a person of Chinese heritage I am clearly too associated with Beijing and so can't be seen to be legitimately representing the best interests of Australians - particularly in light of ICAC inquiry happening now in to Chinese influence of our democracy and the Gladys Liu situation.</i></p> <p><i>Would those who believe there is a place for this transphobic article be okay with this? It's written in very good prose, and includes a number of references and also is only in an 'internal' magazine - so no problem hey?</i></p> <p><i>I am currently reading White Tears Brown Scars by Ruby Hamad. I would encourage those who would be least likely to want to read this, to take the time to read it.</i></p> <p><i>I am sickened by some of the exclusive views I've read over the past few days - but I know that there are amazingly strong people in our movement who get intersectionality, who understand and acknowledge privilege, who don't want their equality and freedom to come at the expense of others, and who know that equality isn't a pie with limited pieces.</i></p>	<p>Apart from the chilling effect of pronouncing that it does not matter if arguments are rational or logical, comments such as these have meant that members are fearful to express support for the views I express and the information I share, so that members are being intimidated into silence on matters of concern to them. As a result, many members instead communicate with me privately and confidentially rather than be accused of insensitivity and risk a rebuke from an MP.</p>
11/12/19	<p><i>Hi all,</i></p> <p><i>I am on personal leave at the moment but wanting to jump in briefly to thank Abigail and the co-convenors of the working group for what they are doing to ensure our</i></p>	<p>Here again Jenny relies on "equality isn't a pie" to justify not engaging with the concerns raised by women about the erasure of their rights. She also makes it clear that she feels I should leave the party and refers to my views</p>

	<p><i>party is a safe space for trans people.</i></p> <p><i>We are a party that has a long and proud history of inclusion when it comes to sex, sexuality and gender issues, we have never hesitated to advocate for equality for all (even if it causes political fall out or heat) and we must never, never, never, allow ourselves to entertain the false belief that ending discrimination and achieving equality for one person or a group of people will somehow cause another person or group to have less equality.</i></p> <p><i>Equality isn't a pie.</i></p> <p><i>So if you don't believe that trans people have full rights to be included and participate in our party and our society in a way that gives them the respect and dignity to be who they are, then this isn't the place for you.</i></p> <p><i>It is always disappointing when people can't recognise or change their discriminatory views - when they don't accept they are being exclusionary - but until they are willing to open their hearts and minds and change, we must not stay silent or give equal standing to their offensive and hurtful views.</i></p> <p><i>If I wanted to have a workshop on white privilege - would we tolerate or even entertain inviting someone from Australia First or One Nation to give an alternative viewpoint? Of course not.</i></p> <p><i>Thank you again Abigail for stepping in while I'm on leave to organise this - and please all stand strong as trans allies and allies of equality and inclusion.</i></p> <p><i>Sorry I won't be able to be there on the weekend - but know I am with you in the struggle for full equality for all, no excuses.</i></p> <p><i>Jenny</i></p>	<p>on women's sex-based rights as "offensive and hurtful" and again unfairly compares them to racism.</p>
22/12/20	<p><i>Dear Anna,</i></p> <p><i>Please stop sharing things on lists</i></p>	<p>Not only does Jenny direct me to stop sharing information but she</p>

	<p><i>that cause hurt and division.</i></p> <p><i>This is an trans inclusive space, we know you disagree with many things that entails, but I would respectfully ask you to inflame division over this very intense time for many.</i></p> <p><i>The women's list will be closing over the festive season.</i></p> <p><i>If anyone needs to raise anything feel free to get in touch with Abigail or I directly.</i></p> <p><i>Take care all,</i></p> <p><i>Jenny</i></p>	<p>also closes the list to make sure it's not possible. This email from Jenny was in reply to my very short email sharing the following links:</p> <p><a href="https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/rape-victims-given-the-right-to-ask-for-female-forensic-team-p0wrc2xnb">https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/rape-victims-given-the-right-to-ask-for-female-forensic-team-p0wrc2xnb</a></p> <p><i>Sad to see the Scottish Greens lose members who care about the rights of women.</i></p> <p><a href="https://www.scotsman.com/news/politics/andy-wightman-quits-scottish-greens-over-intolerance-trans-issue-3073097">https://www.scotsman.com/news/politics/andy-wightman-quits-scottish-greens-over-intolerance-trans-issue-3073097</a></p>
		<p>This screenshot was taken from Jenny's website at the time of writing and demonstrates Jenny's undisguised hostility towards those who are concerned with protecting women's sex-based rights including the use of abusive language and slurs. This behaviour is highly prejudicial to the interests of the Greens and has resulted in many women withdrawing their support from the party.</p>

### 3. Evan Gray – St George Greens

I am aware of Evan Gray as a member of the Greens Education Working Group email list, and note that he has in the past identified himself as a campaigner for Safe Schools. Evan responded aggressively to my email to the group (ironically in relation to bullying in schools) sent on 30 August 2020. Our complaint against Evan is that he has acted to silence discussion and dissent and thereby has failed to act in accordance with the Greens fundamental objective of grassroots democracy.

Date	Description	Concerns
30/8/20	<p>In response to an email on the Educating Working Group list by me (attached below), Evan sent the following emails to the list:</p> <p><i>Anna, these conspiracy theories are offensive, transphobic, and do not have any place within this</i></p>	<p>Evan's email is insulting and does not engage in the content of my email but instead resorts to personal attacks.</p>

	<p><i>party. After the debacle with that wretched Greenmail article we should all be well informed on why these kinds of talking points are incorrect.</i></p>	
	<p><i>Harmful ideology?</i></p> <p><i>What is harmful is your front group which makes submissions to the Queensland Government in support of conversion therapy, and runs workshops on how to legally get away with vilifying trans people.</i></p> <p><i>As a member of a grassroots party I have every right to criticise your views for what they are, and if 'women have quietly left the party over this issue' then right wing bigots have left the party and that's probably a good thing. These views are manipulating the brand of feminism to justify bigotry - just as when the Australia First Party pretend they care about women's lib and gay rights when they say that's why they are anti-Muslim, or when Christian fundamentalists pretend they care about working women when they back in the Nordic Model. It has no legitimate place within the left.</i></p> <p><i>Finally, it's hardly bullying to call you out. Your response is eerily similar to the MRAs screaming about being canceled, despite having their views represented by the bourgeois press and the reactionaries in government. Morrison, Latham, and Murdoch already give your views all the air time they need.</i></p>	<p>Again, this email is insulting and does not engage with the content of my email but instead resorts to spurious personal attacks against me and other Greens members who share my views, labelling us as "right-wing bigots" and comparing us to MRAs and others with whom we have nothing in common.</p>

#### 4. Jordanna Moroney, Fairfield Liverpool Greens

I am not aware of having had previous contact with Jordanna Moroney, but I understand from others that she is married to Erin Moroney who is another of the complainants. Our complaint against Jordanna is that she has supported a complaint for which there are no legitimate grounds and which is intended to silence discussion and dissent and as such is an abuse of process that undermines the principle of grassroots democracy.



5. Erin Moroney, Macarthur Greens

I understand from information provided to me by other Greens members, that Erin Moroney may previously have been known as Ben Moroney, who is a Greens Councillor for Campbelltown City Council and previously described himself as non-binary. I had contact with Ben by email following my email to the Greens Education Working Group on 30 August 2020. Far more recently I have had contact with Erin through the Greens Women's Working Group. Please accept my apologies if I am mistaken and Erin is a separate person. This aptly illustrates how change of gender may be easily used to conceal a person's previous identity. I have also recently come to understand that Erin Moroney uses the name Erin Opal on Facebook. Again, I apologise if I have two different people confused.

Date	Description	Concerns
30/08/20	<p>In response to an email on the Educating Working Group list by me (attached below), Ben Moroney wrote:</p> <p><i>This is repulsive transphobic nonsense and you should be ashamed for posting it.</i></p>	
	<p>Ben also wrote a further very lengthy response in which he strives to rebut the content of information I have shared. While I don't agree with any of his arguments, the objectionable part is his dishonest attempts to cast radical feminists as allied with right wing conservative and religious groups and to end by saying:</p> <p><i>People's fundamental identities are not up for debate, and if you want to have that debate you're welcome to have a sit down with Kirralie and Mark. I am proud that the Greens are an organisation that has consistently been on the right side of recognising the rights of queer people, whether L, G, B, T, Q, I, A or any other part of the rainbow. I will not allow us to take on a platform that causes deep and serious harm to transgender people of any age and I will make every effort to block this or any other WG I am a member of from tabling this sort of dangerous, destructive hate.</i></p> <p><i>I wouldn't have entertained this but</i></p>	<p>Ben uses personal attacks and insulting language, dishonestly suggesting that radical feminists are allied with right wing conservative and religious groups, and unreasonably threatening to block and forcibly close down all discussion of gender ideology in all working groups. These actions are inconsistent with a commitment to grassroots democracy which is a fundamental objective of the Greens.</p>

	<i>I didn't want anyone else in this group to think that your venom was going to go unchallenged.</i>	
14/7/21	<p>An article in international online newsletter "Women are Human" quotes Ben's abusive and misogynist Facebook comments in relation to women campaigning for women's sex-based rights and includes this caption to a photograph:</p> <p><i>Ben Moroney, seen here holding The Greens sign in rainbow colors, called women "foul hags" for asking him whether children should receive therapy before being given puberty blockers and cross-sex hormones.</i></p> <p>Source:  <a href="https://www.womenarehuman.com/upcoming-panel-on-womens-rights-hateful-terfs-fascists-say-non-binary-councillors/">https://www.womenarehuman.com/upcoming-panel-on-womens-rights-hateful-terfs-fascists-say-non-binary-councillors/</a></p>	In this article Ben and another Greens member is quoted mocking and insulting women who are campaigning to protect women's sex-based rights.

6. Rachael Jacobs, Petersham Newtown Green

I am aware of Rachael Jacobs as she has previously run for pre-selection for the upper house and is currently the Greens candidate for Grayndler which is the electorate in which I have my permanent address and where I have contributed as an active branch member and volunteer over many years. Her expressed disdain for me and the many women whose views are represented by Feminist Legal Clinic and the Women's Declaration International (previously known as WHRC) demonstrates contempt and does not comply with the Greens commitment to grassroots democracy and social justice.

Date	Description	Concerns
11/3/21	<p>I sent candidates for pre-selection some questions on behalf of the Women's Human Rights Campaign (now Women's Declaration International). I received the following response from her:</p> <p><i>Hello Anna</i></p> <p><i>I'm a member of the Education Working Group and I am familiar with your posts to the group.</i></p> <p><i>My position is consistent with the Greens' position and I do not agree with your views on sex-based rights. I am committed to</i></p>	<p>Rachael Jacob's complete unwillingness to engage in any discussion of my concerns even when running for pre-selection demonstrates complete contempt not only for me but for the many women who my organisation represents. I note that this contempt for women has been showcased in her other actions including her recent fund raiser which featured a "sex-clown/stripper". The adoption of a non-negotiable authoritarian approach in relation to gender identity policy is incompatible with</p>

	<p><i>ensuring the Greens is a trans-inclusive space and I am committed to campaigning for trans rights everywhere. I will not be filling out the survey. I do not wish to engage in a discussion around these matters.</i></p> <p><i>I invite you to place me last in this preselection ballot.</i></p>	<p>the fundamental Greens objectives of grassroots participatory democracy and social justice.</p>
--	--	--

7. Tristan Ryan, South Sydney Greens

I am not aware of any contact with Tristan Ryan. Our complaint against Tristan is that he has supported a complaint for which there are no legitimate grounds and which is intended to silence discussion and dissent in respect to gender ideology and as such constitutes an abuse of process that undermines the Greens fundamental objective of grassroots democracy.

8. Alysha Hardy, South Sydney Greens

I believe Alysha is a member of the Women's Working Group and a staff member who works in the office of Abigail Boyd. I have exchanged emails with her and Abigail in relation to the dismantling of the women's rights portfolio by separating domestic violence into a separate portfolio. I believe this action does not comply with the Greens fundamental objective of social justice as it relates to women. Our complaint against Alysha is that she has supported a complaint for which there are no legitimate grounds and which is intended to silence discussion and dissent and as such constitutes an abuse of process that undermines the Greens fundamental objective of grassroots democracy.

Date	Description	Concerns
21/4/20	<p>Alysha wrote:</p> <p><i>The Greens NSW do not currently have a Domestic Violence &amp; Abuse policy – instead, principles and aims regarding domestic violence currently sit within the Women policy. . . .</i></p> <p><i>Further, focusing on domestic abuse as a women's problem unnecessarily silos an issue that requires a whole-of-society response and heavy lifting from those other than victims. While domestic violence and abuse is overwhelmingly gendered, children of all genders are often victims of domestic abuse, LGBTIQ+ relationships can also be the site of domestic abuse, and the</i></p>	<p>While it is appreciated that Alysha was just fulfilling her role working for Abigail Boyd, arguing that domestic violence should not form part of the women's portfolio weakens our ability to campaign for laws that provide specific protection from male violence and which cannot subsequently be weaponized <i>against</i> women by perpetrators. This is inconsistent with the Greens commitment to social justice.</p>

	<i>erasure of male victims of domestic abuse means these victims may not receive the support they deserve.</i>	
--	--	--

9. Lydia Smithers, Sutherland Greens

I am aware of Lydia as a staff member in the office of Jenny Leong who requested an invitation for Jenny to attend Feminist Legal Clinic's launch. Our complaint against Lydia is that she has supported a complaint for which there are no legitimate grounds and which is intended to silence discussion and dissent in respect to gender identity and as such constitutes an abuse of process that undermines the Greens principle of grassroots democracy.

Date	Description	Concerns
18/2/2019	<i>Lydia requested an invitation to FLC's launch saying: Lydia here from the Office of Jenny Leong. We received the attached invitation from one of our supporters. I see that the RSVP deadline has passed, but Jenny is really supportive of the work that you do and would like to come along. Would that be alright?</i>	It is perplexing that Jenny, through Lydia, expressed support for our work in 2019 and indeed her office has referred clients to our service, but yet Jenny has never seen fit to initiate a meeting to discuss my concerns in relation to the Greens gender policy.

10. Rochelle Flood, Ryde-Epping Greens

I do not recall any direct personal contact with Rochelle Flood, although I am aware she is a former co-convenor of the Greens NSW. Our complaint against Rochelle is that she has supported a complaint for which there are no legitimate grounds and which is intended to silence discussion and dissent in respect to gender ideology and as such constitutes an abuse of process that undermines the Greens principle of grassroots democracy.

11. Mercurius Goldstein, New England Greens (Glen Innes Region),

I know Mercurius Goldstein through his work as convenor of the Greens Education Working Group. As a member of this group I have received hundreds of email communications from Mercurius dating back to 3 December 2014, but have sent very few emails to the group and only a handful that relate to this issue. These are dated 30 and 31 August 2020 and 2 December 2020 and are those referred to in the complaint against me. I attach these email strings below. The statement that I dominate the list is a misrepresentation of the facts. Not only did Mercurius act to shut down my contributions, he also failed as convenor to intervene and call into line other members who were using insulting language and personal attacks against me and others who spoke out in support of my position. Aside from this failure, our complaint against Mercurius is that he has supported a complaint for which there are no legitimate grounds and which is intended to silence discussion and dissent in respect to gender ideology and as such constitutes an abuse of process that undermines the Green's principle of grassroots democracy.

Date	Description	Concerns
2/12/20	<p>Mercurius responded to my email in relation to the case of <i>Bell v Tavistock</i> in the UK as follows:</p> <p><i>I do not support consideration of this matter in the Greens EdWG as it re-introduces an unsafe discussion. Not 'controversial', unsafe, as many members have attested. Adding the blackmail of a threatened resignation does not make it any safer.</i></p>	<p>As convenor/moderator of the Education Working Group, Mercurius unreasonably closed down discussion of school policy on gender identity as “unsafe” and thereby acted in breach of the principle of grass roots democracy. Indeed, in the complaint against me it is admitted that the elist was shut down to silence discussion on this topic. He also misrepresents me as threatening my resignation, when in fact I was indicating that I was willing to take the risk of complaints such as this one. I am under no illusion that threatening my resignation would achieve anything.</p>

I have also found one earlier email from me to Mercurius personally dated 4 March 2018, which is relevant as I have asked for details of the guidelines for list moderation and for a copy of the Safe Meeting Procedure and Protocols for on-line discussion. I state in the email:

*I have in recent times witnessed a number of groups (both within and outside the Greens) move to exclude individuals expressing unpopular viewpoints. Personally I am in favour of robust debate and although I do not think those with outlier views should be able to block all progress, I don't agree that they should simply be banished either. We can't really claim that the Greens applies consensus decision making if this is how we deal with dissenters.*

Unfortunately, I do not appear to have received a reply to this email from Mercurius, which is telling.

We request that pursuant to your powers as set out under clause 6.6.12 of the Green NSW Constitution, the CRC should reprimand each of these individuals and suspend them from membership until they are willing to provide an undertaking not to block, silence, castigate or make personal attacks or baseless complaints against members expressing divergent opinions in relation to gender identity policy or otherwise.

Yours faithfully



Anna Kerr, Member of The Greens NSW, Ashfield branch

Supported and seconded by:

Dr Catherine Lynch, Member of The Greens NSW, Southern Highlands branch